

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILIN	G DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTO	RNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/714,745	11/17/2003		Brian J. Campbell		BP2243CON	4672	
34399	7590	05/21/2004	10	EXAMINER			
GARLICK HARRISON & MARKISON LLP P.O. BOX 160727					TAN, VIBOL		
AUSTIN, TX 78716-0727			*.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			** ;		2819		
				DATE	1444 FD 0501000		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summer	10/714,745	CAMPBELL, BRIAN J.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Vibol Tan	2819
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the c ver sheet with the c	orresp ndence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from (20) to be seen application to be seen APANCHE	ely filed will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.
Status		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 No	ovember 2003	
_	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan		secution as to the morite in
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex	v pade Quavle 1935 C.D. 11 45	
	C parte Quayle, 1955 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or		
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceled acc	oted or b) objected to by the Examing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obje	37 CFR 1.85(a). cted to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	* *	4× 5 4
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign p a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau (* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application y documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n No In this National Stage
		* ***
ttachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	حد منت	TO 440
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary (P Paper No(s)/Mail Date	10-413)
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Pate 6) Other:	ent Application (PTO-152)
Patent and Trademark Office		

Application/Control Number: 10/714,745

Art Unit: 2819

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-20 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-20 of U.S. Patent No. 6,686,775. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claims 1-20 essentially comprise the same recitations as of in claims 1-20 of U.S. Patent No. 6,686,775.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vibol Tan whose telephone number is (571) 272-1811. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (7:00 AM-4:30 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mike J. Tokar can be reached on (571) 272-1812. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Vibol Tan

Primary Examiner, AU 2819